ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

% Recovery:

Client ID:	M131318
Date Received:	10/17/12
Date Extracted:	10/18/12
Date Analyzed:	10/19/12
Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Client:	Alaskan Copper Works
Project:	Metro K.C. Grab M131318
Lab ID:	210270-01 x10
Data File:	210270-01 x10.045
Instrument:	ICPMS1
Operator:	AP

Lower

Limit:

60

Upper Limit: 125

Germanium	93
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)
Chromium	741
Nickel	790
Copper	682
Zinc	38.5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Method Blank Client ID: Date Received: Not Applicable Date Extracted: 10/18/12 10/19/12 Date Analyzed: Matrix: Water Units:

Internal Standard:

Germanium

ug/L (ppb)

% Recovery:

98

Client: Project: Lab ID:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro K.C. Grab M131318

Upper

Limit:

125

I2-713 mb I2-713 mb rr.054 Data File:

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator:

AP

Lower

Limit: 60

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) ${\bf Chromium}$ <1 Nickel <1 <1 Copper Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 10/23/12 Date Received: 10/17/12

Project: Metro K.C. Grab M131318, F&BI 210270

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 210277-01 (Matrix Spike)

_Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.55	117	100	71-130	16
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	50.4	118 b	89 b	71-120	28 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	68.2	108 b	79 b	52-134	31 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	4.12	110	94	51-142	16

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	93	80-119
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	99	83-119
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	81-120
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	102	82-120

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b. The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

210270	1 7 1	_ `		SAMPLE CHA			N 744			-						ago #		
Company ALASKAN Addition 628 5 City, Blade, ZIP SEP Phone # 256 571-6	COPPER HANGE TIE WA	Work 2 5 98/3	s	PROJECT NA METRO REMARKS	MENO.	42.4	Ь	187		M		3/	8-		Stan RUS Luste of Disput	H SAMP	LE DIS Rec 30 d mples	rimed by: POSAL bys
	1 .	T								ANA	T Va	ec i	NEW Y	el d e c		ceil w	ith ind	ractions
Sample ID	Leb ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Guoline	ETEX by 8021B			_	Call was	CENT	JEST	ED			Notes
M 131318	01'	19/7/12	12:15	HZO	1				1		j	X		ě		一		
						П				1	1					1		
		,					٦		1	1	7					1		000 - 1 - 1014 - C S-
								T	1	1	1	\dashv				\dashv		
		#700	•				7	7	1	1	1					7		
		_			4	П	1		1	7	ヿ	4				\dashv		
landa de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de	1.					H	7		7	T	1	\dashv	-			\dashv		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						H	7	7	+	+	+	1				\dashv		
		n			,			7	\dagger	\dagger	1	Sau	ıpk	s re	eive	d 3	19	<u>°C</u>
2	1. "		*::			T	1	7	1	1	1					1		
Nidelman & Bruys, Inc. Mil 18th Avenue West	Constant 17:			Gazo Thompson					COMP								ATE 7/12	12:53
medic, WA 98119-2029 L. (204) 205-2202	Racingle by Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl Carl	im	Nha	an Phan					Fes				T 10/1			17/12	1257	
Page (80%) 363-5044 Mankvel by:									+						 			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

October 23, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on October 17, 2012 from the Metro K.C. Grab M131318, F&BI 210270 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1023R.DOC